

Planning Act 2008

Infrastructure Planning (Applications Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009

APFP Regulation 5(2)(q)

## North Lincolnshire Green Energy Park

Summary Table of responses to Section 51 Advice

PINS reference: EN010116

September 2022

Revision number: 0





## Summary Table of responses to Section 51 Advice

Relevant Document	Planning Inspectorate Comments	Applicants Response
Plans showing statutory or non-statutory historic or scheduled monument sites or features of the historic environment (Document 4.7)	The plans do not show the Grade II listed building (Angel of War Memorial) which ES Chapter 12 (Document 6.2.12) states is located within the study area. The plans also incorrectly labels some designated heritage assets as non-designated heritage assets	This listed building has now been added and labelled as site 135 on the relevant maps. Another listed building, shown on maps but without a number – the Grade II number 45 and 47 Old Crosby - has also been numbered as site 136 in the relevant maps. Regarding the second part of this comment, 'The plans also incorrectly label some designated heritage assets as non-designated heritage assets', this issue resulting from layering in our spatial database has now been resolved.  It is acknowledged that this error occurs within other figures in the Appendices of Chapter 12. We will update these figures at the next appropriate point within the examination.
ES Chapter 12 (Document 6.2.12)	Figure 1a of Appendix A to ES Chapter 12 replicates information shown in Document 4.7 and therefore has the same inconsistencies as highlighted above.	As above.
ES Chapter 11 Landscape and Visual Impact (Document 6.2.11)	ES Chapter 11 Landscape and Visual Impact includes longer distance viewpoints, as described in Table 17. Other than Viewpoint 11, which was selected as representative of users of the local public right of way network and is located at the Scheduled Ancient Monument of Flixborough Saxon Nunnery, it is not stated whether any of the viewpoints	Viewpoint 11 is considered in section 12.2 of Chapter 12 in relation to the Saxon Nunnery. Potential effects on the setting of other cultural heritage sites were, however, also given careful consideration in our assessment, which found that there would be no such impact.  As there were no other potential effects to describe, and with the aim of providing a clear and concise



	were selected to inform the assessment of heritage setting impacts.	summary, we took the decision to summarise this assessment within Chapter 12, rather than Chapter 11. For ease of reference, we simply cited the ZTV in Chapter 12.  The relevant paragraph is 12.2.1.1, which is as follows: Impacts on designated assets have been considered out to a distance of 7.5 km, as illustrated in Figure 2b. The most prominent feature of the Project will be the stack at the ERF, which will be up to 120 m high (126.6 m AOD). The ZTV indicates the degree to which the landscape position of the stack will be very largely masked in views to the east of the mudstone ridge on or behind which most of the historic settlements to the east of the Trent are situated. As a result of this, views from or towards designated listed buildings in many of the closest settlements, Normanby, Flixborough and Scunthorpe, will not be affected by the Project. The same applies to the two listed buildings in Amcotts to the west of the Trent, where the Grade II Church of St Mark and the adjacent Grade II rectory are both well-screened by trees from views to the east.  In summary, it was not stated that the other viewpoints were considered in Chapter 11 because our settings assessment presented in Chapter 12 found that there would be no other potential impacts other than Viewpoint 11.
Project Glossary of Terms (Document 1.7)	Project Glossary is not comprehensive The CoCP (Document 6.3.7) Refers to the following which do not appear in the Project Glossary and clarification of these in the	An additional review of the application documents has been undertaken to capture missing terms additional to those identified by PINs. The Project Glossary document has been revised to address this.



	Project Glossary would be helpful CRP – Community Relations Plan CLP – Construction Logistics Plan DPCD – electronic page 46 PPDW – Permitted Preliminary Development Works ILBP – Indicative Landscape and Biodiversity Plan LBBMP – Landscape and Biodiversity Management and Monitoring Plan PP - electronic page 53 PSMP – Protected Species Management Plan SRP – Spill Response Plan	
Electronic Index	CoCP refers to document 5.14 electronic page 59, and electronic page 66 however a 5.14 document is not referenced in the index nor has it been received	The Applicant can confirm that this was an error in the CoCP and references to document number 5.14 have been corrected to document number 5.12.
DCO Schedule 1, 3 and Schedule 6 Part 2	Refers to the Borough of North East Lincolnshire, please clarify if this is correct	The draft DCO has been updated accordingly.
DCO – Work 1D	Consider whether there is a need to include the alternative of Air Blast Chillers (ABC) in Work 1D, which then may also need to be reflected in the Works Plans	The draft DCO has not changed as the description of Work No 1D previously included both options. Works Plans A have been updated.
DCO – Part 2 (Doc 2.2)	Numbering of this section starts at bb – please clarify if this is correct.	Numbering and formatting errors have been corrected throughout this version of the draft DCO.



DCO Schedule 2A	Please clarify the numbering of this schedule, it seems slightly odd electronic page 76	Our view is that a change is not required to the draft DCO in relation to this point. Schedule 11 paragraph 5 of the DCO sets out the wording which is to be substituted for the existing Schedule 2A of the Compulsory Purchase Act 1965 for the purposes of the DCO - this is why the extent of Schedule 2A is shown in quotation marks (ending after paragraph 14(3)). The numbering of Schedule 11 then continues on from paragraph 6 onwards. The positioning of the title of "Schedule 2A" has been updated as this was a formatting error.
Document 6.2.3 footnote 9 electronic page 33	It would be helpful if clarification could be provided what is meant by 'mt' for example, whether this refers to metric tonne or megaton	'mt' refers to 500,000 metric tonnes. This has been added to the updated Project Glossary provided as part of this response for clarity.
The appendices to the CoCP (Document 6.3.7)	It would be helpful if clarification could be provided on whether these are outline or not. For example, Appendix title cover page is Dust Management Plan (Document 6.3.7 Annex B) which is then referred to within the document itself as Outline Dust Management Plan and this pattern is repeated for each of the Appendices – clarity will be needed, and this will need to follow through to the dDCO and what is set out in the requirements.	The Applicant can confirm that all Plans referred to and included within the CoCP are outline. The document has been revised to address this.
Asbestos Management Plan – Appendix E of CoCP (Document Ref 6.3.7)	It would be helpful if you could clarify if there should be an Appendix A to this document?	The Applicant can confirm that the inclusion of this Appendix A cover page was an editing error. The document has been revised to remove this cover page.



Dust Management Plan –		
Appendix B of CoCP (Doc Ref		
6.3.7)		

It would be helpful if clarification could be provided on what 'have a sunset of plans for each phase' means

The Applicant can confirm that this was a spelling error, correct word is 'sub-set'. Due to minor nature of this error it is not proposed to update the document to correct this, however this will be corrected during examination period if other updates to the document are required.